

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,178 01/11/2002		Jean-Pierre Rombeaut	Q68010	5996	
23373	7590	05/02/2006	EXAMINER		
SUGHRU			NGUYEN, BRIAN D		
2100 PENI SUITE 800		IIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	STON, DO	20037	2616		

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Apt	olication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence			042,178	ROMBEAUT ET	ROMBEAUT ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exa	miner	Art Unit		
			n D. Nguyen	2616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>16 Februa</i>	ny 2006		•	
2a)□		ed on <u>707 ebrua</u> 2b)⊠ This actio				
3)	Since this application is in condition	•		atters prosecution as to t	he merits is	
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practi		•	· •	ne ments is	
Dispositi	on of Claims	,				
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the ap	onlication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	· ·	m consideration			
5)[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iic williolawii iic	m consideration.		÷ '	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ———		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				•	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	tion requirement			
٠,٠	are dabject to restrict	saori ana/or cice	aon requirement.	•		
Applicati	on Papers					
9)🖾	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 16 February	2006 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)[objected to by the Exan	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have	e been received.	1		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received in	Application No		
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies	of the priority do	cuments have bee	en received in this Nationa	al Stage	
	application from the Internation	•	• • • •	,		
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the	e certified copies no	ot received.		
		•				
Attachment	(s)			,		
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date		
I) ∐ Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or · No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (P ⁻ ——	TO-152)	

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser code. See MPEP 608.01.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/042,177. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 claims "each state machine managing an interface associate with one of said connection" while claim 1 of Application No. 10/042,177 claims "said at least

Application/Control Number: 10/042,178

Art Unit: 2616

two router modules having respective state machines associated with each of said neighbor routers". This is obvious because there are an interface and a connection associated with each of the neighbor routers. Claim 6 is obvious because the stable states listed in claim 6 can be included or excluded from claim 6 of Application No. 10/042,177 and vice versa.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double patenting rejection set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian D. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3084. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-6:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/24/06/

BRIAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER